UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Martin Santos-Villasenor		Case Number: <u>11-02611M-001</u>
and was repr	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 esented by counsel. I conclude by a pre dant pending trial in this case.	8142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 8, 2011. Defendant was present ponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find by a pro	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the cl	narged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximur	n of years imprisonment.
	<u></u>	
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the ma f the hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record.
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	endant will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of con	ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECT	TIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonab States or on request of an attorney for	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in the from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending the opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEAI	LS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
		nis detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ficiently in advance of the hearing before	e to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ore the District Co. It to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

DATE: <u>March 8, 2011</u>

investigate the potential third party custodian.

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge